Following the Tongass discussion on May 26\textsuperscript{th}, we quickly brainstormed a list of conflict points as a group. This is not meant as a complete list of Tongass issues, just the ones that came to mind after hearing from all stakeholders.

- **Roads!** Some want new roads for transportation and tourism. FS, Natives, communities, timber industry, but not conservation groups
- Some conservations groups want **NO logging**
- Forest Service and state want to continue to **log some old growth**
- Natives want a **bigger timber industry** so they can improve the problem of unemployment (95%)
- Natives, non-timber businesses, communities (to an extent) want **new roads, bridges, and ferries** to lessen isolation and reduce energy costs
- Some conservation groups want conservation but also a small timber industry. Some conservation groups want to kill timber.
- Conservation I wants to remove all govt subsidies for timber. FS says this has already happened.
- Native groups want recognition of landless communities under ANCSA as well as sacred sites – want **no commercial or mineral harvest on sacred sites**.
- “Everyone” would like to see an **increase in tourism**.
- Native groups want a **hydroelectric project**. They also want a **coal mine on Admiralty island**. They need a road for this, and they want to trade some land for the coal land.
- Forest Service wants to **increase logging of some old growth**.
- Timber industry has native groups’s best interest in mind, talking about a veneer plant and having the processing of timber occur on-site and create jobs. Also logging second growth.
- Timber industry wants to log a lot of trees that have already fallen. BUT! These are the best salmon habitats BUT! They don’t log in riparian zones anyway?